brownrudnick

DAVID J. MOLTON direct dial: 212.209.4822 dmolton@brownrudnick.com

February 7, 2024

BY ECF FILING

Hon. John P. Mastando United States Bankruptcy Judge United States Bankruptcy Court Southern District of New York One Bowling Green New York, NY 10004

RE: Status of Remaining Oral Arguments

Dear Judge Mastando:

We write as a follow-up to the letter submitted to the Court on November 16, 2023, notifying the Court which defendants would like to reserve time for oral argument and the estimated length of time that each party would like to reserve for oral argument (the "November Letter").

Since the submission of the November Letter, the following defendants have informed the Liquidators that they are no longer requesting oral argument:

Adv. Pro. No.	Defendant
10-3626	BGL BNP Paribas S.A. f/k/a BNP Paribas
	Luxembourg SA
10-3627	BNP Paribas Securities Services Luxembourg
10-4098	BNP Paribas Arbitrage SNC
10-4099	BNP Paribas Private Bank and Trust Cayman
	Ltd.
11-1579	BNP Paribas Securities Nominees Ltd. a/k/a
	Harrier Holdings Ltd.
11-1617	Fortis Bank SA/NV n/k/a BNP Paribas Fortis
10-3636	Bank Hapoalim Switzerland Ltd
10-3636	BBVA (Suisse) SA
10-3636	BNP Paribas (Suisse) SA Private
10-3636	BNP Paribas (Suisse) SA Ex Fortis
10-3636	BNP Paribas (Suisse) SA



10-3636	Bank J. Safra Sarasin AG, f/k/a Bank Sarasin
	& Cie

Attached, as Exhibit A, is a revised list of those defendants in whose actions the motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction briefing is complete. Exhibit A indicates (1) whether each defendant is reserving time for oral argument and (2) if so, the length of time that each party is requesting to reserve for oral argument.

All parties reserve their right to alter their position set forth on Exhibit A as to (1) whether they would like to reserve time for oral argument and (2) the estimated length of time for oral argument, following the review of any intervening decision from the Court on the pending motions to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction. Such requests shall be made by individual letter by the requesting party. The non-requesting party reserves the right to oppose any such request.

The parties are available to discuss further at the Court's convenience.

Respectfully,

BROWN RUDNICK LLP

David J. Molton